

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,273	03/04/2004	Ruey J. Yu	BMR-008	4235
7681.3 7590 06/11/2008 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			ROYDS, LESLIE A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	1614
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com patentdc@goodwinprocter.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/792,273	YU ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie A. Royds	1614				
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>						
(1) Leslie A. Royds (Exr).	(3)					
(2) Eleanor Hynes (Attny).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 4 June 2008	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A						
Claims discussed: N/A						
Prior art documents discussed: N/A						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant's representative confirmed that a response to the final						
Part III.						
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>						
/Leslie A. Royds/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				